

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/677,398	10/01/2003	Boaz Ben-Zvi	200308873-1	8875		
22879 HEWLETT PA	7590 04/03/2007 CKARD COMPANY	EXAM	EXAMINER			
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			BATAILLE, PI	BATAILLE, PIERRE MICHE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2186			
				141		
			MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/03/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/677,398	BEN-ZVI, BOAZ
Examiner	Art Unit
Pierre-Michel Bataille	2186

	Pierre-Michel Bataille	2186	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		i be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after ei	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	•	n condition for allowar	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		Pierre-Michel Batail Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2186	le

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is appreciated the practical application illustrated by Applicant on pages 7 and 8 of Applicant's remarks. In the example of the prior art described by Applicant, it is appreciated that totalling all 2006 expenses paid to employees by departments could not be returned to the user for any group until all records were processed.

However, it is not detailed how the same example, totalling all 2006 expenses paid to employees by department, would return acurate data using Applicant's claimed invention (e.g. claim 1), specifically without prior grouping. It should also be appreciated our know to be common practice that grouping is not necessary for totalling the sale of a particular product by all cashiers at a grocery store. It is understood or is common practice that a total can be computed as the sale of that particular item continues without having to sell all of that particular product.

In a further relevant application, it is known to group or queue data or operations as currently processed data or operation has no relevancy to the data or operations being queued for further processing. Sharma (US 5,511,190) provides hash-based techniques allowing groupings and aggregates to be generated on the fly through the use of partial aggregates. It would be understood that, if grouping is necessary, a group table entry corresponding to the hashed group value invokes aggregate processing. In certain cases, it is not necessary to aggregate, i.e. distinct entries are processed while other entries are grouped. Sharma discloses that groups and aggregates are generated for as many groups as can be maintained in primary memory, while various overflow procedures are provided for buffering ungrouped data and writing that data to an overflow disk file for later processing. It is also understooad that Sharma discloses grouping functions and hash functions to coordinate transfer operations while executing group queries, i.e. group query is not halted while transfer operation takes place.

PIERRE BATAILLE PRIMARY EXAMINER

3/7/0-